

Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standard Self-Assessment Questionnaire A and Attestation of Compliance

All cardholder data functions outsourced. No Electronic Storage, Processing, or Transmission of Cardholder Data

Version 2.0

October 2010



Document Changes

Date	Version	Description
October 1, 2008	1.2	To align content with new PCI DSS v1.2 and to implement minor changes noted since original v1.1.
October 28, 2010	2.0	To align content with new PCI DSS v2.0 requirements and testing procedures.
The second secon		
and the second s		



Table of Contents

Document Change	es	i
PCI Data Security	Standard: Related Documents	ii
Before you Begin		iii
	elf-Assessment Questionnaire	
PCI DSS Complian	nce – Completion Steps	iii
	Applicability of Certain, Specific Requirements	
Attestation of Cor	npliance, SAQ A	1
	Questionnaire A	
Implement Strong Requirement 9:	Access Control Measures Restrict physical access to cardholder data	4 4
Maintain an Inform Requirement 12:	Maintain a policy that addresses information security for all personnel	5 5
Appendix A:	(not used)	6
Appendix B:	Compensating Controls	7
Appendix C:	Compensating Controls Worksheet	8
Compensating Co	ntrols Worksheet – Completed Example	9
	Explanation of Non-Applicability	



PCI Data Security Standard: Related Documents

The following documents were created to assist merchants and service providers in understanding the PCI Data Security Standard and the PCI DSS SAQ.

Document	Audience
PCI Data Security Standard: Requirements and Security Assessment Procedures	All merchants and service providers
Navigating PCI DSS: Understanding the Intent of the Requirements	All merchants and service providers
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Guidelines and Instructions	All merchants and service providers
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Questionnaire A and Attestation	Eligible merchants ¹
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Questionnaire B and Attestation	Eligible merchants ¹
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Questionnaire C-VT and Attestation	Eligible merchants ¹
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Questionnaire C and Attestation	Eligible merchants ¹
PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Questionnaire D and Attestation	Eligible merchants and service providers ¹
PCI Data Security Standard and Payment Application Data Security Standard: Glossary of Terms, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	All merchants and service providers

¹ To determine the appropriate Self-Assessment Questionnaire, see *PCI Data Security Standard: Self-Assessment Guidelines and Instructions*, "Selecting the SAQ and Attestation That Best Apply to Your Organization."



Before you Begin

Completing the Self-Assessment Questionnaire

SAQ A has been developed to address requirements applicable to merchants who retain only paper reports or receipts with cardholder data, do not store cardholder data in electronic format and do not process or transmit any cardholder data on their systems or premises.

SAQ A merchants, defined here and in the *PCI DSS Self-Assessment Questionnaire Instructions and Guidelines*, do not store cardholder data in electronic format and do not process or transmit any cardholder data on their systems or premises. Such merchants validate compliance by completing SAQ A and the associated Attestation of Compliance, confirming that:

- Your company handles only card-not-present (e-commerce or mail/telephone-order) transactions;
- Your company does not store, process, or transmit any cardholder data on your systems or premises, but relies entirely on third party service provider(s) to handle all these functions;
- Your company has confirmed that the third party(s) handling storage, processing, and/or transmission of cardholder data is PCI DSS compliant;
- Your company retains only paper reports or receipts with cardholder data, and these documents are not received electronically; and
- Your company does not store any cardholder data in electronic format.

This option would never apply to merchants with a face-to-face POS environment.

Each section of the questionnaire focuses on a specific area of security, based on the requirements in the PCI DSS Requirements and Security Assessment Procedures. This shortened version of the SAQ includes questions which apply to a specific type of small merchant environment, as defined in the above eligibility criteria. If there are PCI DSS requirements applicable to your environment which are not covered in this SAQ, it may be an indication that this SAQ is not suitable for your environment. Additionally, you must still comply with all applicable PCI DSS requirements in order to be PCI DSS compliant.

PCI DSS Compliance – Completion Steps

- 1. Assess your environment for compliance with the PCI DSS.
- 2. Complete the Self-Assessment Questionnaire (SAQ A) according to the instructions in the Self-Assessment Questionnaire Instructions and Guidelines.
- 3. Complete the Attestation of Compliance in its entirety.
- Submit the SAQ and the Attestation of Compliance, along with any other requested documentation, to your acquirer.

Guidance for Non-Applicability of Certain, Specific Requirements

Non-Applicability: Requirements deemed not applicable to your environment must be indicated with "N/A" in the "Special" column of the SAQ. Accordingly, complete the "Explanation of Non-Applicability" worksheet in Appendix D for each "N/A" entry.



Attestation of Compliance, SAQ A

Instructions for Submission

The merchant must complete this Attestation of Compliance as a declaration of the merchant's compliance status with the *Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) Requirements and Security Assessment Procedures*. Complete all applicable sections and refer to the submission instructions at "PCI DSS Compliance – Completion Steps" in this document.

	nant and Qualified Se chant Organization Info	. •	ssor Info	rmation		
Company Name:	Johnson County Ta	x Office	DBA(S):	2126		
Contact Name:	Scott Porter		Title:	Johnson Count	y Tax Asse	essor-Collector
Telephone:	817-558-0122		E-mail:	scottp@johnso	ncountytx.c	org
Business Addres	s: 2 North Mill Street		City:	Cleburne		
State/Province:	TX		Country:	USA	ZIP:	76033
URL:	www.texas.gov					
Part 1b. Qua	lified Security Assesso	or Company In	formation	ı (if applicable))	
Company Name:						
Lead QSA Conta Name:	ct		Title:			
Telephone:			E-mail:			
Business Addres	s:		City:			
State/Province:			Country:		ZIP:	
URL:						
Part 2. Type	of merchant busines	s (check all t	hat apply	/):		
Retailer	☐ Telecommunication	☐ Grocery and	t Supermar	kets		
Petroleum	⊠ E-Commerce	☐ Mail/Teleph	one-Order	☐ Others	s (please sp	pecify):
List facilities and	locations included in PCI D	OSS review: 2 No	orth Mill Stro	eet, Cleburne, TX	76033	
Part 2a. Rela	tionships					
Does your comp gateways, web-l	pany have a relationship whosting companies, airline	vith one or more booking agents	third-party s, loyalty p	agents (for extrogram agents,	ample, etc.)?	⊠ Yes □ No
Does your comp	oany have a relationship w	vith more than o	ne acquire	L\$		⊠ Yes □ No



Part 2b. Eligibility to Complete SAQ A

Merchant certifies eligibility to complete this shortened version of the Self-Assessment Questionnaire because:

- Merchant does not store, process, or transmit any cardholder data on merchant systems or premises but relies entirely on third party service provider(s) to handle these functions;
- The third party service provider(s) handling storage, processing, and/or transmission of cardholder data is confirmed to be PCI DSS compliant;
- Merchant does not store any cardholder data in electronic format; and
- If Merchant does store cardholder data, such data is only in paper reports or copies of receipts and is not received electronically.

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation

Based on the results noted in the SAQ A dated (2/13/15), (Johnson County Tax Office) asserts the following compliance status (check one):

- Compliant: All sections of the PCI SAQ are complete, and all questions answered "yes," resulting in an overall COMPLIANT rating, thereby (Johnson County Tax Office) has demonstrated full compliance with the PCI DSS.
- Non-Compliant: Not all sections of the PCI SAQ are complete, or some questions are answered "no," resulting in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating, thereby (Merchant Company Name) has not demonstrated full compliance with the PCI DSS.
 - Target Date for Compliance:
 - An entity submitting this form with a status of Non-Compliant may be required to complete the Action Plan in Part 4 of this document. Check with your acquirer or the payment brand(s) before completing Part 4, since not all payment brands require this section.

Part 3a. Confirmation of Compliant Status

Merchant confirms:

- PCI DSS Self-Assessment Questionnaire A, Version (2.0), was completed according to the instructions therein.
- All information within the above-referenced SAQ and in this attestation fairly represents the results of my assessment.
- I have read the PCI DSS and I recognize that I must maintain full PCI DSS compliance at all times.

Part 3b. Merchant Acknowledgement

Signature of Merchant Executive Officer ↑

Roger Harmon

Merchant Executive Officer Name ↑

Johnson County Tax Office

Merchant Company Represented ↑

and the

Johnson County Judge

Title ↑



Part 4. Action Plan for Non-Compliant Status

Please select the appropriate "Compliance Status" for each requirement. If you answer "NO" to any of the requirements, you are required to provide the date Company will be compliant with the requirement and a brief description of the actions being taken to meet the requirement. Check with your acquirer or the payment brand(s) before completing Part 4, since not all payment brands require this section.

	Compliance Status (Select One)			Remediation Date and Actions
PCI DSS Requirement	Description of Requirement	YES	NO	(if Compliance Status is "NO")
9	Restrict physical access to cardholder data			
12	Maintain a policy that addresses information security for all personnel	⊠		



Self-Assessment Questionnaire A

Note: The following questions are numbered according to PCI DSS requirements and testing procedures, as defined in the PCI DSS Requirements and Security Assessment Procedures document.

Date of Completion: 2/13/15

Implement Strong Access Control Measures

Requirement 9: Restrict physical access to cardholder data

	PCI	DSS	i Question Res	sponse:	<u>Yes</u>	NO	Special
9.6		ıters,	ia physically secured (including but not limited to removable electronic media, paper receipts, paper	reports,	☒		
			es of Requirement 9, "media" refers to all paper an nedia containing cardholder data.	d			
9.7	· · ·		control maintained over the internal or external dis kind of media?	stribution	\boxtimes		
	(b) Do	o con	trols include the following:			re e	
	9.7.1		media classified so the sensitivity of the data can b termined?	е	\boxtimes		
	9.7.2		media sent by secured courier or other delivery men be accurately tracked?	thod that			
9.8	area, a	and is	aintained to track all media that is moved from a se s management approval obtained prior to moving the when media is distributed to individuals)?				
9.9	Is stric		trol maintained over the storage and accessibility of	of	\boxtimes		
9.10	ls all n legal r		destroyed when it is no longer needed for busines ns?	s or	\boxtimes		
	Is dest	tructio	on performed as follows:				
	9.10.1	(a)	Are hardcopy materials cross-cut shredded, incin or pulped so that cardholder data cannot be reconstructed?	erated,	⊠		
		(b)	Are containers that store information to be destro secured to prevent access to the contents? (For a "to-be-shredded" container has a lock preventing access to its contents.)	example,	Ø		

^{* &}quot;Not Applicable" (N/A) or "Compensating Control Used." Organizations using this section must complete the Compensating Control Worksheet or Explanation of Non-Applicability Worksheet, as appropriate, in the Appendix.



Maintain an Information Security Policy

Requirement 12: Maintain a policy that addresses information security for all personnel

	PCI	DSS Question	Response:	<u>Yes</u>	No	Special*
12.8 If cardholder data is shared with service providers, are police procedures maintained and implemented to manage service as follows?						
	12.8.1	Is a list of service providers maintained?		\boxtimes		
	12.8.2	Is a written agreement maintained that includes an acknowledgement that the service providers are refor the security of cardholder data the service prov possess?	esponsible	\boxtimes		
	12.8.3	Is there an established process for engaging service providers, including proper due diligence prior to engagement?	ce	⊠		
	12.8.4	Is a program maintained to monitor service provide DSS compliance status?	ers' PCI	\boxtimes		

^{* &}quot;Not Applicable" (N/A) or "Compensating Control Used." Organizations using this section must complete the Compensating Control Worksheet or Explanation of Non-Applicability Worksheet, as appropriate, in the Appendix.



Appendix A: (not used)

This page intentionally left blank



Appendix B: Compensating Controls

Compensating controls may be considered for most PCI DSS requirements when an entity cannot meet a requirement explicitly as stated, due to legitimate technical or documented business constraints, but has sufficiently mitigated the risk associated with the requirement through implementation of other, or compensating, controls.

Compensating controls must satisfy the following criteria:

- 1. Meet the intent and rigor of the original PCI DSS requirement.
- 2. Provide a similar level of defense as the original PCI DSS requirement, such that the compensating control sufficiently offsets the risk that the original PCI DSS requirement was designed to defend against. (See *Navigating PCI DSS* for the intent of each PCI DSS requirement.)
- 3. Be "above and beyond" other PCI DSS requirements. (Simply being in compliance with other PCI DSS requirements is not a compensating control.)

When evaluating "above and beyond" for compensating controls, consider the following:

Note: The items at a) through c) below are intended as examples only. All compensating controls must be reviewed and validated for sufficiency by the assessor who conducts the PCI DSS review. The effectiveness of a compensating control is dependent on the specifics of the environment in which the control is implemented, the surrounding security controls, and the configuration of the control. Companies should be aware that a particular compensating control will not be effective in all environments.

- a) Existing PCI DSS requirements CANNOT be considered as compensating controls if they are already required for the item under review. For example, passwords for non-console administrative access must be sent encrypted to mitigate the risk of intercepting clear-text administrative passwords. An entity cannot use other PCI DSS password requirements (intruder lockout, complex passwords, etc.) to compensate for lack of encrypted passwords, since those other password requirements do not mitigate the risk of interception of clear-text passwords. Also, the other password controls are already PCI DSS requirements for the item under review (passwords).
- b) Existing PCI DSS requirements MAY be considered as compensating controls if they are required for another area, but are not required for the item under review. For example, two-factor authentication is a PCI DSS requirement for remote access. Two-factor authentication from within the internal network can also be considered as a compensating control for non-console administrative access when transmission of encrypted passwords cannot be supported. Two-factor authentication may be an acceptable compensating control if; (1) it meets the intent of the original requirement by addressing the risk of intercepting clear-text administrative passwords; and (2) it is set up properly and in a secure environment.
- c) Existing PCI DSS requirements may be combined with new controls to become a compensating control. For example, if a company is unable to render cardholder data unreadable per requirement 3.4 (for example, by encryption), a compensating control could consist of a device or combination of devices, applications, and controls that address all of the following: (1) internal network segmentation; (2) IP address or MAC address filtering; and (3) two-factor authentication from within the internal network.
- 4. Be commensurate with the additional risk imposed by not adhering to the PCI DSS requirement.

The assessor is required to thoroughly evaluate compensating controls during each annual PCI DSS assessment to validate that each compensating control adequately addresses the risk the original PCI DSS requirement was designed to address, per items 1-4 above. To maintain compliance, processes and controls must be in place to ensure compensating controls remain effective after the assessment is complete.



Appendix C: Compensating Controls Worksheet

Use this worksheet to define compensating controls for any requirement where "YES" was checked and compensating controls were mentioned in the "Special" column.

Note: Only companies that have undertaken a risk analysis and have legitimate technological or documented business constraints can consider the use of compensating controls to achieve compliance.

Requirement Number and Definition:

12 P. 15		Particingation Requires: 2.5	SS (danation)
1.	Constraints	List constraints precluding compliance with the original requirement.	
2.	Objective	Define the objective of the original control; identify the objective met by the compensating control.	
3.	Identified Risk	Identify any additional risk posed by the lack of the original control.	
4.	Definition of Compensating Controls	Define the compensating controls and explain how they address the objectives of the original control and the increased risk, if any.	
5.	Validation of Compensating Controls	Define how the compensating controls were validated and tested.	
6.	Maintenance	Define process and controls in place to maintain compensating controls.	



Compensating Controls Worksheet – Completed Example

Use this worksheet to define compensating controls for any requirement where "YES" was checked and compensating controls were mentioned in the "Special" column.

Requirement Number: 8.1 – Are all users identified with a unique user name before allowing them to access system components or cardholder data?

		esinformation Required as its	SEXUMINATION OF THE PROPERTY O
1.	Constraints	List constraints precluding compliance with the original requirement.	Company XYZ employs stand-alone Unix Servers without LDAP. As such, they each require a "root" login. It is not possible for Company XYZ to manage the "root" login nor is it feasible to log all "root" activity by each user.
2.	Objective	Define the objective of the original control; identify the objective met by the compensating control.	The objective of requiring unique logins is twofold. First, it is not considered acceptable from a security perspective to share login credentials. Secondly, having shared logins makes it impossible to state definitively that a person is responsible for a particular action.
3.	Identified Risk	Identify any additional risk posed by the lack of the original control.	Additional risk is introduced to the access control system by not ensuring all users have a unique ID and are able to be tracked.
4.	Definition of Compensating Controls	Define the compensating controls and explain how they address the objectives of the original control and the increased risk, if any.	Company XYZ is going to require all users to log into the servers from their desktops using the SU command. SU allows a user to access the "root" account and perform actions under the "root" account but is able to be logged in the SU-log directory. In this way, each user's actions can be tracked through the SU account.
5.	Validation of Compensating Controls	Define how the compensating controls were validated and tested.	Company XYZ demonstrates to assessor that the SU command being executed and that those individuals utilizing the command are logged to identify that the individual is performing actions under root privileges
6.	Maintenance	Define process and controls in place to maintain compensating controls.	Company XYZ documents processes and procedures to ensure SU configurations are not changed, altered, or removed to allow individual users to execute root commands without being individually tracked or logged



Appendix D: Explanation of Non-Applicability

If "N/A" or "Not Applicable" was entered in the "Special" column, use this worksheet to explain why the related requirement is not applicable to your organization.

Requirement	Reason Requirement is Not Applicable
Example: 12.8	Cardholder data is never shared with service providers.
·	
i	
	·